

1

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

The Minutes

December 31, 2001

- CO35297 THE PEOPLE v. ROBERTSON (Not for Publication)**
The matter is remanded to the trial court for dismissal of counts II through XI, inclusive, against defendant and for the imposition of the \$50 criminal laboratory analysis fee (Health & Saf. Code, § 11372.5), plus penalty assessments. The judgment is otherwise affirmed.
KOLKEY, J.
We concur: Callahan, Acting P.J.
Hull, J.
- CO36876 THE PEOPLE v. HALLY (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed. The abstract of judgment incorrectly omits that the trial court imposed and then stayed a three-year term for each of the enhancements under section 12022.7, subdivision (a). The trial court is directed to prepare a corrected abstract of judgment and forward a copy thereof to the Department of Corrections.
DAVIS, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
Nicholson, J.
- CO37284 THE PEOPLE v. CARLYLE (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
BLEASE, Acting P.J.
We concur: Sims, J.
Morrison, J.
- CO30441 OATES et al. v. CITY OF DAVIS (Not for Publication)**
CO31184
The judgment is affirmed with directions to the trial court to determine the amount of attorney fees to be awarded the City on appeal. The City shall recover costs on appeal.
RAYE, J.
We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
Kolkey, J.
- CO30453 NATIONAL ENTERPRISES, INC. v. WOODS et al. (Certified for Partial Publication)**
The judgment is reversed. On remand, the trial court is directed to enter judgment for NEI against defendants Woods, Laird, and Mitchell; to award damages in the principal amount due under the note; and to determine and award the interest, attorney fees, and costs owed by such defendants. NEI is awarded its costs on appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 26(a).)
KOLKEY, J.
We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
Raye, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

2

The Minutes

December 31, 2001, continued

**C036307 THE READER'S DIGEST ASSOCIATION, INC. v. FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
(Certified for Publication)**

The judgment is affirmed. (CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION.)

DAVIS, Acting P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Kolkey, J.

C037489 In re C.G.; THE PEOPLE v. C.G. (Not for Publication)

The judgment is modified to impose a six-month suspension of the minor's driving privilege. As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

CALLAHAN, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Nicholson, J.

January 1, 2002

There were no minutes for this date.

January 2, 2002

C035791 THE PEOPLE v. HARPER (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

SCOTLAND, P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Callahan, J.

C036552 THE PEOPLE v. PIPER (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

SCOTLAND, P.J.

I concur: Callahan, J.

I concur in the result:
 Blease, J.

C038216 THE PEOPLE v. RAMJI (Not for Publication)

The appeal is dismissed.

BLEASE, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Nicholson, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

3

The Minutes

January 2, 2002, continued

C039005 THE PEOPLE v. BIGGER (Not for Publication)
The judgment is modified to impose a \$200 state penalty assessment (§ 1464) and a \$140 county penalty assessment (Gov. Code, § 76000) upon the \$200 section 290.3 fine. As modified, the judgment is affirmed. The trial court is directed to prepare an amended abstract of judgment reflecting this modification and making the following corrections: the consecutive term on count 2 is two years; the presentence custody credits are calculated pursuant to Penal Code section 2933.1; the section 1202.45 fine is \$2,500; and defendant shall have no contact with the child victim. The trial court is further directed to send a certified copy of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections.
 SCOTLAND, P.J.
We concur: Blease, J.
 Raye, J.

January 3, 2002

C033134 THE PEOPLE v. SHOUP (Not for Publication)
The judgment (order reinstating probation) in case No. 96F07655 is affirmed.
 SIMS, Acting P.J.
We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Morrison, J.

C032952 LUETER et al. v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA et al. (Certified for Publication)
The judgment is reversed to the extent that it awards damages for negligent spoliation of evidence, and is affirmed to the extent that it awards \$1.50 as damages for conversion. The trial court is directed to issue a new judgment accordingly. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 26(a).)
 SCOTLAND, P.J.
We concur: Sims, J.
 Callahan, J.

C037880 CITY OF SACRAMENTO v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD and SAYLORS (Certified for Publication)
The order is annulled. The City shall recover its costs on review.
 BLEASE, Acting P.J.
We concur: Davis, J.
 Nicholson, J.

